

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Claim 9 stands objected to because of informalities. Claims 1-20 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14, and 18-20 of copending Application No. 09/976,344 in view of Reece et al. (US 5,619,257). The rejection is a provisional obviousness type double patenting rejection. A timely filed Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) is filed herewith to overcome this rejection.

It is respectfully submitted, therefore, that in view of the above amendments and remarks, that this application is now in condition for allowance, prompt notice of which is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 40,802

Roland R. Schindler II/jrk
Rochester, NY 14650
Telephone: 585-588-2736
Facsimile: 585-477-1148